

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 09/695,108	Applicant(s) MORLEY ET AL.
		Examiner TAMMY THANH NGUYEN	Art Unit 2444

All Participants:

(1) TAMMY THANH NGUYEN

(2) _____

Date of Interview: 22 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robert D. McCutcheon

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

101 issue and amendment the claims

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Negotiate was given by examiner with an applicant's representative, the applicant's representative agrees to amend the claimed language in order to place the application in the condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/TAMMY THANH NGUYEN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)